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<td>Summary</td>
<td>The panelists will analyze and discuss from their respective jurisdictional points of view a case study. The case study will deal with inter alia the following: (i) the ability to carry out investigations and how wide ranging those investigations could be; (ii) to what extent it is permissible to ignore legal concepts of privilege or of &quot;secret professionnel&quot; in an investigation; (iii) to what extent documents in the possession, custody or control of third parties could be obtained; and (iv) what enforcement mechanisms exist and the level of fines which could be imposed.</td>
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